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## MFC Updates - Agenda

- (1) Dispelling the Myth - debentures vs bank loans
- How interest rates are derived
- Matching
- Structure
- Pre-Payments and Early Payout
- MFC vs Bank Example
- (2) Core Best Practices
- What are the MFC "Core" Best Practices (CBP)
- What Municipalities have implemented, or looking at the CBP.
- (3) Debt Affordability Model
- History
- DMA Relationship
- Example
- (4) High Interest Savings Account (HISA)


## "Debenture vs Long Term Bank Loans for Capital Projects.....Dispelling the Myth"

## The MFC Myth

## A municipality can borrow more cheaply at the bank than with the MFC.

Fiction - the MFC borrows on the credit of the Province plus a little bit. This means that the cost of issuing debentures through the MFC is the lowest cost of funds available to a municipality.


## Prime rates are a better source of capital financing than the MFC.

Fiction - bank prime rates are floating short term rates, because they are a floating rate they are volatile. The MFC is locked in financing over the life of the project where there is certainty with the cost of funds and the associated principal and interest payments.
Better financing method for setting long-term residential and commercial tax rates.

## The MFC Myth (Continued)

## Local Government Resource Handbook (S3.4, page 12)

## Short-term versus long-term interest rates

It is inappropriate to compare short-term rates (prime) with long-term borrowing rates, as they represent different types of financing for different purposes. A short-term rate is a floating rate that is subject to change on a daily basis, whereas a long-term rate is fixed for a specified period. Generally the longer the term, the higher the interest rate because the investor is committing to a longer period of time at a fixed rate. A MFC debenture issue costs reflect a weighted average of borrowing costs for each debenture maturing. For example, a fifteen year MFC serial debenture issue has fifteen interest rates that when averaged, can appear to cost more than the short-term rates (prime) that are quoted by the various Canadian Chartered Banks. However, the 15 year MFC rate is fixed for the life of the loan. The current prime rate will change over the life of the loan and there is increased risk in using this alternative. The rates of a MFC debenture issue carry lower interest rates in the early years (that are comparable to prime), and as the further out in time that the debenture issue goes the bond market adjusts interest rates upward for this time risk factor.

## The MFC Myth (Continued)

The MFC is inflexible. (Whether on timing, term, interest rates)
Fiction - the MFC can structure long term capital financing over the term that you want $3,5,8$ or 15 years. It can provide blended payments, serial payments, gross proceeds or net proceeds.

The MFC has a short term borrowing program available to municipalities. It is up to the municipality to do their cash flow projections that best suits their financing needs (not MFC's responsibility). The MFC mirrors the information provided by the municipality when it borrows.

The MFC goes out twice a year, to coincide with construction season.

## The MFC does not allow for early repayment of debentures.

Fact - the MFC issues debentures in its own name in order to lend to municipalities. An early repayment by a client would put the MFC "offside" and would result in increased costs for municipalities. The MFC can arrange debentures with a shorter term than the amortization period.

## The MFC Myth (Continued)

## Local Government Resource Handbook (S3.4, page 12)

A participant in a MFC debenture issue is not required to finance over the full life of the debenture. The participant can finance for a shorter time period than the project's amortization period thus taking advantage of the lower interest rates in the debenture issue's earlier years. Traditionally, municipalities have locked in rates for as long a period as possible because they want to know with certainty what their long-term debt service commitments will be. There are arguments on both sides of this debate. It is important when comparing MFC and bank rates that a comparable term is used. Short-term rates quoted by the Canadian Chartered Banks are normally lower but more volatile because the prime interest rate may change several times over the course of the financing period, based upon scheduled announcements by the Bank of Canada. Long-term rates provide certainty with respect to future debt service costs.

## The MFC Myth (Continued)

## Debenture versus Prime Interest Rates

| Prime Interest Rates |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effective | Rate | \# of days |
| 8-Sep-10 | $3.00 \%$ | 1,597 |
| 22-Jan-15 | $2.85 \%$ | 175 |
| 16-Jul-15 | $2.70 \%$ | 728 |
| 13-Jul-17 | $2.95 \%$ | 56 |
| Average |  |  |
| $4.61 \%$ |  |  |
| Next scheduled announcement |  |  |
| 7-Sep-17 | TBA | 49 |
| 26-Oct-17 | TBA | 42 |
| 7-Dec-17 | TBA | 42 |
| 18-Jan-18 | TBA | 49 |
| 8-Mar-18 | TBA | 41 |
| 18-Apr-18 | TBA | 43 |
| 31-May-18 | TBA | 42 |
| 12-Jul-18 | TBA | 56 |
| 6-Sep-18 | TBA | 49 |
| 2-Oct-18 | TBA | 42 |
| 6-Dec-18 | TBA | ?? |


| NSM FC Interest Rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | June 1/15 | Nov 17/14 | June 29/10 |
| 1 | $1.0110 \%$ | $1.2000 \%$ | $1.5100 \%$ |
| 2 | $1.1920 \%$ | $1.3870 \%$ | $2.1750 \%$ |
| 3 | $1.3420 \%$ | $1.6810 \%$ | $2.7600 \%$ |
| 4 | $1.6260 \%$ | $1.9700 \%$ | $3.1500 \%$ |
| 5 | $1.8330 \%$ | $2.2210 \%$ | $3.4300 \%$ |
| 6 | $2.0560 \%$ | $2.4550 \%$ | $3.7300 \%$ |
| 7 | $2.3300 \%$ | $2.6780 \%$ | $3.9650 \%$ |
| 8 | $2.5200 \%$ | $2.8730 \%$ | $4.1750 \%$ |
| 9 | $2.6610 \%$ | $3.0410 \%$ | $4.3600 \%$ |
| 10 | $2.7860 \%$ | $3.1900 \%$ | $4.5000 \%$ |
| 11 | $2.870 \%$ | $3.2910 \%$ | $4.5800 \%$ |
| 12 | $2.9560 \%$ | $3.3460 \%$ | $4.6550 \%$ |
| 13 | $3.510 \%$ | $3.4160 \%$ | $4.7200 \%$ |
| 14 | $3.1350 \%$ | $3.5010 \%$ | $4.7950 \%$ |
| 15 | $3.2050 \%$ | $3.5590 \%$ | $4.8750 \%$ |
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## The MFC Myth (Continued)

Analysis of Financing: NSMFC vs Bank


NSMFC's "All-in" cost $=\mathbf{2 . 7 3 1} \%$

| Bank Financing at Prime +/- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | Interest | Outstanding |
| Payment | Payment | Principal |
| 27,259.20 | 13,272.60 | 972,740.80 |
| 27,627.20 | 12,904.60 | 945,113.61 |
| 28,000.16 | 12,531.64 | 917,113.44 |
| 28,378.17 | 12,153.63 | 888,735.28 |
| 28,761.27 | 11,770.53 | 859,974.01 |
| 29,149.55 | 11,382.25 | 830,824.46 |
| 29,543.07 | 10,988.73 | 801,281.39 |
| 29,941.90 | 10,589.90 | 771,339.50 |
| 30,346.11 | 10,185.69 | 740,993.38 |
| 30,755.79 | 9,776.01 | 710,237.60 |
| Loan refinanced at 5th year anniversary. What will be the new rate of prime??? |  |  |
| 31,170.99 | 9,360.81 | 679,066.61 |
| 31,591.80 | 8,940.00 | 647,474.81 |
| 32,018.29 | 8,513.51 | 615,456.52 |
| 32,450.53 | 8,081.27 | 583,005.99 |
| 32,888.62 | 7,643.18 | 550,117.37 |
| 33,332.61 | 7,199.19 | 516,784.76 |
| 33,782.60 | 6,749.20 | 483,002.16 |
| 34,238.67 | 6,293.13 | 448,763.49 |
| 34,700.89 | 5,830.91 | 414,062.60 |
| 35,169.35 | 5,362.45 | 378,893.25 |
| Loan refinanced at 10th year anniversary. What will be the new rate of prime??? |  |  |
| 35,644.14 | 4,887.66 | 343,249.11 |
| 36,125.33 | 4,406.47 | 307,123.77 |
| 36,613.03 | 3,918.77 | 270,510.75 |
| 37,107.30 | 3,424.50 | 233,403.45 |
| 37,608.25 | 2,923.55 | 195,795.20 |
| 38,115.96 | 2,415.84 | 157,679.23 |
| 38,630.53 | 1,901.27 | 119,048.71 |
| 39,152.04 | 1,379.76 | 79,896.67 |
| 39,680.59 | 851.21 | 40,216.08 |
| 40,216.08 | 315.52 | (0.00) |
|  |  |  |
| 1,000,000.00 | 215,953.80 |  |

Prime $=\mathbf{2 . 7 0 \%}$ at May 1, 2017.


MFC is cheaper than the bank.
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Core Best Practices

## Core Best Practices

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | MFC's Seven Core Best Practices |  |  |
|  | Core Best Practice | Inquired/W.I.P./Implemented |  |
| 1. | Appropriate Minimum Level of Operating Reserve and Accumulated Surplus | Middleton, Lockeport |  |
| 2. | Audit Committees | Middleton, Lockeport, Annapolis Co |  |
| 3. | Debt Management Policy | Middleton, Lockeport, East Hants |  |
| 4. | Documenting Accounting Policies / Procedures | Middleton, Lockeport, Digby Co |  |
| 5. | Financial Forecast, Budget Prep, \& Cash Flow Forecasting | Lockeport |  |
| 6. | Multi-year Capital Planning | Middleton, Lockeport |  |
| 7. | Purchase Policy | Barrington, Lockeport, Digby Co, Middleton |  |

Debt Affordability Model

## Debt Affordability Model (DAM)

The DAM has been in existence for municipal use since the summer of 2004.

Originally, it was designed to assist municipal councils in determining the appropriate level of debt for their municipality. Now the Model can be used for multi-year budgeting, and for forecasting and analyzing revenue and expenditure options.

The Model has been used in the dissolution hearings.

Since inception, 35 municipalities have had presentations/training.

Since 2014, 29 Models have been done.

DMA mandatory requirement if Debt Service Ratio goes over 15\%, or 6 Red Municipal Indicators.

## Debt Affordability Model (DAM)

| Nova Scotia Municipal Finance Corporation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schedule \#4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Budget for the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipality of ABC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
| Revenue Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential property tax | 2,162,649 | 2,191,155 | 2,207,600 | 2,224,168 | 2,240,861 | 2,257,679 | 2,274,622 | 2,291,693 | 2,308,892 | 2,326,220 |
| Commercial property tax | 528,297 | 547,363 | 551,626 | 555,920 | 560,247 | 564,607 | 568,999 | 573,424 | 577,882 | 582,373 |
| Other taxes | 237,685 | 237,685 | 237,685 | 237,685 | 237,685 | 237,685 | 237,685 | 237,685 | 237,685 | 237,685 |
| Transfers from other govts | 840,441 | 840,441 | 840,441 | 840,441 | 840,441 | 840,441 | 840,441 | 840,441 | 840,441 | 840,441 |
| Other revenue sources | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 | 3,662,614 |
|  | 7,431,687 | 7,479,259 | 7,499,966 | 7,520,829 | 7,541,849 | 7,563,026 | 7,584,362 | 7,605,857 | 7,627,515 | 7,649,334 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discretionary Expenditures | 6,869,149 | 10,297,586 | 10,305,089 | 10,347,676 | 10,391,464 | 10,436,488 | 10,482,782 | 10,530,385 | 10,579,332 | 10,629,663 |
| Mandatory Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt serricing | 410,536 | 532,736 | 1,547,497 | 1,708,442 | 1,832,379 | 2,069,619 | 2,252,398 | 2,357,940 | 2,177,864 | 2,231,623 |
| Other than debt servicing | 710,317 | 719,155 | 728,130 | 737,244 | 746,499 | 755,898 | 765,444 | 775,139 | 784,985 | 794,986 |
| Deficit from prior year | 0 | 673,757 | 4,859,416 | 10,055,607 | 15,443,581 | 20,872,075 | 26,571,055 | 32,487,317 | 38,544,924 | 44,459,591 |
| Reserves | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7,990,002 | 12,223,234 | 17,440,132 | 22,848,969 | 28,413,924 | 34,134,080 | 40,071,679 | 46,150,781 | 52,087,105 | 58,115,863 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus(Deficit) | $(558,316)$ | (4,743,975) | $(9,940,166)$ | $(15,328,140)$ | $(20,872,075)$ | $(26,571,055)$ | $(32,487,317)$ | (38,544,924) | $(44,459,591)$ | $(50,466,529)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt Summation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actual debt outstanding | 2,730,961 | 2,365,990 | 2,001,020 | 1,697,546 | 1,460,199 | 1,222,852 | 985,504 | 748,157 | 665,938 | 583,720 |
| Outstanding, per Capital Budget | 1,035,500 | 10,115,185 | 11,264,837 | 11,979,248 | 12,921,410 | 13,272,482 | 12,883,907 | 11,383,984 | 10,441,464 | 8,836,553 |
| Actual \& forecasted outstanding | 3,766,461 | 12,481,175 | 13,265,856 | 13,676,794 | 14,381,608 | 14,495,334 | 13,869,411 | 12,132,141 | 11,107,403 | 9,420,273 |

${ }^{* * *}$ Note: Any deficits are assumed to be included as part of the manditory expenditures in the following fiscal year.
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## Your Thoughts



## High Interest Saving Account (HISA)

## High Interest Saving Account (HISA)

## What is HISA?

It is exactly what the name suggests, just a simple interest bearing savings account that provides a higher rate of interest than a standard bank account.

## What's in it for you?

Ability to earn superior interest rates
No term commitments
Ease of administration
No administration fee and no service fees
The greater the total fund balance, the higher the interest rate

Now lets look at the HISA presentation for more details.


[^0]:    July 16/15, prime at 2.70\% for 2-years. (MFC 1.10\%)
    Jan 22/15, prime at $2.85 \%$ for 6-months. (MFC $1.20 \%$ )
    Sep 8/10, prime $3.00 \%$ for 4 -years, 4 -months (MFC $2.40 \%$ )

